29th October 1935]

(b) whether it is a fact that the Government of India have exempted improvements in lands after one settlement from assessment;

(c) whether this Government consulted the Board of Revenue in this matter; and if so, what their opinion was; and

(d) what are the reasons for the issue of this order by the Government?

A.—(a) No. Lands are, where necessary, reclassified at resettlement in accordance with the orders passed on the several scheme reports to which the hon. Member may refer.

(b) The hon. Member is referred to paragraph 20 of the Resolution of the Government of India printed at page 20 of the book 'The Land Revenue Policy of the Government of India.'

(c) & (d) Do not arise.

Mr. P. V. Krishnayya Choudari:—"May I know whether the Government have not issued, either by way of a confidential circular or in any other manner, any notification stating that Government have got powers to reclassify the lands between one settlement and another?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—"Not that I am aware of."

Diwan Bahadur T. A. Ramalingam Chettiyar:—"May I ask whether the Government are aware that in Malabar reclassification was resorted to?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—"Malabar was reclassified under a notification which was issued before the settlement took place."

Diwan Bahadur T. A. Ramalingam Chettiyar:—"May I ask whether, after the settlement, there has been any reclassification or not? I am not asking about the present policy of the Government."

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—"I want notice."

Applications from the proprietors of full inam villages in Anantapur district for seasonal remission of the jodi payable in 1934–35.

* 234 Q.—Mr. G. Rameswara Rao: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether any applications for seasonal remissions were received from proprietors of full inam villages in Anantapur district regarding the jodi payable in 1934–35; and

(b) What orders, if any, have been passed thereon?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) Two were forwarded to the Collector of Anantapur district. The Government considered that no action was necessary in regard to the others.

[29th October 1935

Mr. G. Rameswara Rao:—" May I know, with reference to the answer given to this question, as to when the applications were received and how they were disposed of? "

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" Of the two applications received for remission, one was in June and the other in July. The Government considered that those cases were not fit cases for granting remissions. Of the two applications received one was with reference to a whole inam village and the Government did not consider in that case there was reason to grant the request of the petitioner."

Collection of process-fees in South Kanara by the Revenue officials.

* 235 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state with reference to the collection of process-fees for attachment, etc., by revenue officials in South Kanara district in general and in Puttur taluk in particular—

(*a*) the total amount collected in each of the taluks by way of process-fees; and

(*b*) whether any special extra staff was employed for serving the processes; if not, whether it was through the village officers that the services were effected?

A.—(*a*)—

Taluk.	Amount. RS.	A.	P.
Mangalore	203	14	0
Kasaragod	200	15	0
Puttur	251	15	0
Udipi	205	0	0
Coondapoor	133	11	0
Karkal	201	0	0
Total for the South Kanara district ...	1,196	7	0

(*b*) Special staff of seven process-amins for the whole district was employed. Processes were also served through the village officers.

Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt:—" May I know whether it is not a fact that, in cases where the services of a special staff are not utilized, no process fees can be collected under the Board's Standing Order? "

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" Notice, Sir."

Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt:—" May I know if the Hon. Member would look into the matter and in cases where any unauthorized process fees have been collected, see that orders are issued to refund the sums that have been collected? "